

Durham Research Online

Deposited in DRO:

18 July 2017

Version of attached file:

Published Version

Peer-review status of attached file:

Peer-reviewed

Citation for published item:

Pakmor, R. and Gómez, F. A. and Grand, R. J. J. and Marinacci, F. and Simpson, C. M. and Springel, V. and Campbell, D. J. R. and Frenk, C. S. and Guillet, T. and Pfrommer, C. and White, S. D. M. (2017) 'Magnetic field formation in the Milky Way like disc galaxies of the Auriga project.', Monthly notices of the Royal Astronomical Society., 469 (3). pp. 3185-3199.

Further information on publisher's website:

<https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx1074>

Publisher's copyright statement:

This article has been accepted for publication in Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society © 2017 The Authors. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Royal Astronomical Society.

Additional information:

Use policy

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a [link](#) is made to the metadata record in DRO
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Please consult the [full DRO policy](#) for further details.

Magnetic field formation in the Milky Way like disc galaxies of the Auriga project

Rüdiger Pakmor,¹★ Facundo A. Gómez,² Robert J. J. Grand,^{1,3} Federico Marinacci,⁴ Christine M. Simpson,¹ Volker Springel,^{1,3} David J. R. Campbell,⁵ Carlos S. Frenk,⁵ Thomas Guillet,^{1,3} Christoph Pfrommer¹ and Simon D. M. White²

¹Heidelberger Institut für Theoretische Studien, Schloss-Wolfsbrunnengasse 35, D-69118 Heidelberg, Germany

²Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik, Karl-Schwarzschild-Str. 1, D-85748 Garching, Germany

³Zentrum für Astronomie der Universität Heidelberg, ARI, Mönchhofstrasse 12-14, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany

⁴Kavli Institute for Astrophysics and Space Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

⁵Department of Physics, Institute for Computational Cosmology, Durham University, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE, UK

Accepted 2017 April 28. Received 2017 April 28; in original form 2017 January 16

ABSTRACT

The magnetic fields observed in the Milky Way and nearby galaxies appear to be in equipartition with the turbulent, thermal and cosmic ray energy densities, and hence are expected to be dynamically important. However, the origin of these strong magnetic fields is still unclear, and most previous attempts to simulate galaxy formation from cosmological initial conditions have ignored them altogether. Here, we analyse the magnetic fields predicted by the simulations of the Auriga Project, a set of 30 high-resolution cosmological zoom simulations of Milky Way like galaxies, carried out with a moving-mesh magnetohydrodynamics code and a detailed galaxy formation physics model. We find that the magnetic fields grow exponentially at early times owing to a small-scale dynamo with an e-folding time of roughly 100 Myr in the centre of haloes until saturation occurs around $z = 2$ – 3 , when the magnetic energy density reaches about 10 per cent of the turbulent energy density with a typical strength of 10–50 μ G. In the galactic centres, the ratio between magnetic and turbulent energies remains nearly constant until $z = 0$. At larger radii, differential rotation in the discs leads to linear amplification that typically saturates around $z = 0.5$ – 0 . The final radial and vertical variations of the magnetic field strength can be well described by two joint exponential profiles, and are in good agreement with observational constraints. Overall, the magnetic fields have only little effect on the global evolution of the galaxies as it takes too long to reach equipartition. We also demonstrate that our results are well converged with numerical resolution.

Key words: magnetic fields – methods: numerical – Galaxy: formation – galaxies: magnetic fields.

1 INTRODUCTION

Magnetic fields have been observed in the interstellar medium (ISM) for a large number of galaxies. One of the most important results is that the magnetic and turbulent energy densities in nearby galaxies are roughly in equipartition (Boulares & Cox 1990; Beck et al. 1996; Fletcher 2010). Therefore, at least today, magnetic fields are expected to be dynamically important for the evolution of galaxies. Moreover, strong magnetic fields have also been measured in galaxies at much earlier times, up to $z = 2$ (Bernet et al. 2008). For an overview of different observational probes and their results, see

Beck (2016). In addition to their direct influence on gas dynamics, magnetic fields also play an important role in controlling the direction of anisotropic transport processes of charged particles, which is of immediate relevance for thermal conduction and the motion of cosmic rays.

Despite their importance, the origin and evolution of galactic magnetic fields is still not fully understood (Kulsrud & Zweibel 2008; Beck 2016). To reach their observed strength, magnetic fields have to be amplified by several orders of magnitude from cosmological seed fields (Durrer & Neronov 2013) or weak magnetic fields generated in stars and supernovae (Bisnovatyi-Kogan, Ruzmaikin & Syunyaev 1973; Hanayama et al. 2005). The amplification process is highly non-linear and is inherently coupled to the complex baryonic physics that regulates star formation and the formation and

★ E-mail: ruediger.pakmor@h-its.org

evolution of galaxies. It is thus best studied by means of detailed numerical simulations that attempt to take all relevant processes into account.

There is a long history of numerical simulations that study the amplification of magnetic fields in cosmological objects. Several full cosmological simulations of galaxy clusters (Dolag, Bartelmann & Lesch 1999; Dubois & Teyssier 2008; Donnert et al. 2009; Vazza et al. 2014) and galactic haloes (Beck et al. 2012; Marinacci et al. 2015) have found that a turbulent dynamo can efficiently amplify magnetic fields in haloes, although the amount of the reported amplification varies with numerical schemes and effective resolution. However, until recently cosmological magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) simulations were unable to form anything resembling realistic galaxies owing to shortcomings in the modelling of feedback processes and severe resolution limits.

Alternatively, numerical simulations of isolated galaxies that include magnetic fields also showed that the magnetic field can be efficiently amplified by a turbulent dynamo once a high enough resolution is available and sufficiently strong turbulence is present (Hanasz, Wóltński & Kowalik 2009; Wang & Abel 2009; Dubois & Teyssier 2010; Pakmor & Springel 2013; Pakmor et al. 2016b; Rieder & Teyssier 2016). These idealized simulations were able to include more physical processes and reach significantly higher spatial resolution than their cosmological counterparts, but the lack of a consistent cosmological embedding and the arbitrariness of the initial conditions limit their predictive power. In particular, continued growth and accretion on to galaxies and the interaction with other haloes and satellites play a significant role in shaping galaxies.

Utilizing new modelling capabilities, we recently carried out the first ever high-resolution cosmological zoom simulation of the formation of a Milky Way like galaxy that included magnetic fields, showing that the observed strong large-scale magnetic fields can be naturally obtained from the amplification of a small cosmological seed field (Pakmor, Marinacci & Springel 2014). In this paper, we significantly extend this pilot study by analysing the amplification of magnetic fields and their strengths at $z = 0$ in the 30 galaxies of the Auriga project (Grand et al. 2017), a suite of high-resolution cosmological zoom simulations including a state-of-the-art physics model and fully coupled magnetic fields. Here, we focus on the overall evolution of the magnetic field strengths, and how it correlates with other galaxy properties. An analysis of the structure and evolution of the magnetic field is addressed in a companion paper (Pakmor et al. in preparation).

This paper is structured as follows. We discuss the set-up and physics model of our simulations in Section 2. We then characterize the magnetic field strength in the galaxies at $z = 0$ in Section 3 and analyse the amplification mechanisms that produce the final fields in Section 4. We briefly discuss the dynamical impact of the magnetic field and resolution effects in Section 5. Finally, we summarize our results in Section 6.

2 METHODS AND SET-UP

The Auriga galaxies are formed in high-resolution zoom simulations of Milky Way sized haloes selected from the dark matter only simulation of the Eagle box (Schaye et al. 2015) with a boxsize of 100 Mpc. The haloes are chosen to have a virial mass ($M_{200,\text{crit}}$) between 10^{12} and $2 \times 10^{12} M_{\odot}$ at $z = 0$ and to fulfil a mild isolation criterion (Grand et al. 2017).

The simulations employ the moving-mesh code AREPO (Springel 2010) that models dark matter, stars and black holes as collisionless particles, and gas on an unstructured Voronoi-mesh that is

advected with the flow and changes its structure with time, yielding a quasi-Lagrangian description of hydrodynamics. Small correction motions are added where needed to preserve a reasonably regular mesh geometry, and local refinement and derefinement operations are applied whenever the mass content per cell deviates by more than a factor 2 from a target mass resolution. The equations of hydrodynamics are solved on this mesh using a second-order finite volume scheme (Pakmor et al. 2016a). The high-resolution region around the target halo extends out to $4 R_{200}$ of the halo in the parent DM-only simulation at $z = 0$, and has a high-resolution dark matter particle mass of $3 \times 10^5 M_{\odot}$ and a typical mass resolution for high-resolution gas cells and star particles of $5 \times 10^4 M_{\odot}$. We use a constant comoving gravitational softening for collisionless particles of $250 h^{-1} \text{ pc}$ until $z = 1$ and keep it constant in physical units thereafter. The gravitational softening of gas cells is set to the maximum of 2.8 times their radius and the softening of collisionless particles.

Our model for baryonic physics consists of a slightly updated version of Marinacci, Pakmor & Springel (2014). It includes atomic and metal line cooling and a spatially uniform UV background (Vogelsberger et al. 2013) and employs a subgrid model for the ISM and star formation (Springel & Hernquist 2003). Stellar evolution is treated self-consistently and includes metal enrichment from core collapse supernovae, thermonuclear supernovae and asymptotic giant branch stars (Vogelsberger et al. 2013). Feedback from core collapse supernovae is taken into account by a non-local effective kinetic wind model that isotropically injects momentum from the star-forming ISM and launches a wind just outside the star-forming ISM. The kinetic wind model is implemented using wind particles that are formed in star-forming regions and recouple as soon as their density drops below 10 per cent of the star formation threshold. This usually happens within the disc and launches a galactic wind from the disc. We also include supermassive black holes and model accretion on to them and their feedback as AGN (Springel, Di Matteo & Hernquist 2005). For a detailed description of the physics model, see Grand et al. (2017).

Magnetic fields are included in the limit of ideal MHD. We employ the implementation of cell-centred magnetic fields in AREPO (Pakmor, Bauer & Springel 2011) that uses the HLLD Riemann solver (Miyoshi & Kusano 2005) to compute fluxes and the Powell scheme (Powell et al. 1999) for divergence cleaning (Pakmor & Springel 2013). We start with a uniform magnetic seed field with comoving strength 10^{-14} G at $z = 127$ (equivalent to a physical strength of $2 \times 10^{-4} \mu\text{G}$) oriented along the z -coordinate of the simulation box. This seed field strength is many order of magnitudes larger than plausible values for a cosmological seed field from inflation or fields seeded by Biermann batteries (Kulsrud & Zweibel 2008). However, as shown in previous work, the information about the initial configuration and strength of the magnetic field is quickly erased by the exponential dynamo in collapsed haloes (Pakmor et al. 2014; Marinacci et al. 2015) and the initial strength is still small enough to be dynamically irrelevant outside collapsed haloes (Marinacci & Vogelsberger 2016).

We assume that the magnetic energy of gas that forms a star particle representing a population of stars is locked up in the star particle and thus removed from the gas (Pakmor et al. 2014). Similarly, the magnetic energy of gas that forms a wind particle is removed from the gas as well.

A detailed summary of the Auriga project can be found in Grand et al. (2017). In addition, previous analysis of the Auriga galaxies that focused on the stellar disc and stellar halo (Gómez et al. 2016, 2017; Grand et al. 2016; Monachesi et al. 2016), and the H I disc




Figure 1. Magnetic field strength in the discs of six selected haloes at $z = 0$. The first row shows face-on projections with a depth of 2 kpc centred on the plane of the disc. The second row shows the radial profile of the magnetic field strength (black) and gas density (grey), measured in rings with a height of 2 kpc. The red line is a double exponential fit to the magnetic field profile. The third row shows edge-on projections with a depth of 30 kpc. The last row shows the vertical profile of the magnetic field strength in cylinders with a radius of 30 kpc. The black, grey and red lines display the profiles of magnetic field strength and gas density, respectively, and a double exponential fit to the magnetic field profile.

(Marinacci et al. 2017), has shown that the simulations reproduce remarkably well a wide range of present-day observables of Milky Way like galaxies. In this work, we now turn to an investigation of the magnetic field strength in these systems. Non-magnetic properties of the simulated galaxies that we refer to below are taken from Grand et al. (2017), if not stated otherwise. All distances quoted in this paper are in physical units.

3 THE STRENGTH OF THE DISC MAGNETIC FIELD AT $Z = 0$

In Fig. 1, we show projections and profiles of the six haloes that we have or will have higher resolution runs available. They were selected to have large discs (Au-16 and Au-24), to be a close equivalent to the Milky Way (Au-6), and for interesting satellite interactions (Au-21, Au-23 and Au-27). The projected magnetic field strength

in every pixel of the images is calculated from the line-of-sight integral of the magnetic energy density, i.e.

$$B(x, y) = \left(\frac{1}{2h} \int_{-h}^{+h} B(x, y, z)^2 dz \right)^{1/2}. \quad (1)$$

Similarly, the profiles show the magnetic field strength equivalent to the total magnetic energy in every ring for the radial profiles and in every cylinder for the vertical profiles, always centred on the disc. Here, the average magnetic field strength in a radial bin with a radial size of 1 kpc is computed by

$$B(r) = \left(\frac{\int_{r-0.5 \text{ kpc}}^{r+0.5 \text{ kpc}} \int_{-1 \text{ kpc}}^{+1 \text{ kpc}} \int_0^{2\pi} B(r, \phi, z)^2 r d\phi dz dr}{\int_{r-0.5 \text{ kpc}}^{r+0.5 \text{ kpc}} \int_{-1 \text{ kpc}}^{+1 \text{ kpc}} \int_0^{2\pi} r d\phi dz dr} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (2)$$

The magnetic field strength in the centre of the discs typically reaches a few $10 \mu\text{G}$ and drops to a few μG at larger radii

(10–30 kpc). The radial profile of the magnetic field strength in the disc can be fit very well with two exponentials that describe the magnetic field strength in two regions separated by a break radius r_0^B , i.e. a four parameter fit defined by

$$B(r) = \begin{cases} B_{\text{centre}} \times e^{[-r/r_{\text{inner}}^B]} & \text{if } r < r_0^B \\ B_{\text{centre}} \times e^{[-r_0^B/r_{\text{inner}}^B - (r-r_0^B)/r_{\text{outer}}^B]} & \text{if } r \geq r_0^B. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Here, B_{centre} is the magnetic field strength at $r = 0$, r_{inner}^B and r_{outer}^B are the scale radii of the inner and outer exponentials, and r_0^B is the radius where the slope changes from the inner to the outer exponential. For our set of haloes, the inner scale radius is always smaller than the outer scale radius. The values obtained by a least-squares fit in log-space, i.e. we fit a linear function to $\log(B(r))$, for the inner scale radius typically range from 1 to 10 kpc, for the outer scale radius we obtain values between 10 and 40 kpc, and the break typically occurs at a radius between 5 and 15 kpc. Note that some of the haloes (e.g. Au-21) are well fitted with a single exponential and the break radius inferred from the fit becomes meaningless. There is no obvious one-to-one correlation between the radial profiles of the gas density and the magnetic field strength; commonly the gas density exhibits a more complex radial structure. Interestingly, there is significant azimuthal structure in the magnetic field strength in the disc, an analysis of which is deferred to a forthcoming paper.

The edge-on projections of the magnetic field strength for the disc galaxies in Fig. 1 show a large variety in the spatial extent of strong magnetic fields within the halo. At the extreme end, highly magnetized outflows (e.g. Au-21) occur with field strengths of several μG , but configurations where the magnetic field strength drops significantly below $1 \mu\text{G}$ by a height of 10 kpc (e.g. Au-16) can also be found. In general, the vertical profile of the magnetic field strength can also be described by a double exponential. The inner and outer vertical scaleheights are typically in the range of 2–5 kpc and 10–20 kpc, respectively, with a break at 2–5 kpc. For most galaxies, the vertical profile of the magnetic field strength is closely mirroring the vertical profile of the gas density, which also can be described well by a double exponential profile with the same break, but with a three times larger scaleheight. This strongly suggests that the vertical profile of the magnetic field strength is set by the galactic winds that are responsible for shaping the vertical gas density profile of the circumgalactic medium. We analyse the relation between the two vertical profiles in more detail below. For a list of the magnetic field properties of all galaxies at $z = 0$, see Table 1.

3.1 Central magnetic field strength at $z = 0$

Estimates inferred from integrated radio continuum observations exist for the magnetic field strength at the very centre of disc galaxies, the average field strength over the whole disc and for radial profiles of the magnetic field strength for a small number of disc galaxies. Note that except for the estimates of the magnetic field strength at the very centre of the Milky Way, all these estimates assume equipartition between magnetic energy and the energy of the cosmic ray protons and a fixed ratio between the energy density of cosmic ray protons and cosmic ray electrons that generate the radio synchrotron emission.

The central magnetic field strength in our galaxies at $z = 0$ ranges from about 10 up to 300 μG . However, the strongest magnetic fields with a strength above 100 μG are only found in bulge-dominated galaxies. A central field strength of several 10 μG as found in the disc-dominated galaxies of our sample is slightly smaller but possibly still consistent with observational estimates that report 50–100 μG on scales of 400 pc (Crocker et al. 2010) for the magnetic

field strength in the centre of the Milky Way. These scales are resolved in the central regions of our simulations.

The average magnetic field strengths for the whole disc range between a few μG and 10 μG across our samples. These strengths are at the lower end of the average magnetic field strength in nearby galaxies inferred from integrated radio continuum observations (see e.g. Fletcher 2010), which cite values of $17 \pm 3 \mu\text{G}$ and assumes equipartition between magnetic energy density and the energy density of the cosmic ray electrons that generate the radio synchrotron emission. A possible explanation of this difference may lie in the reduced strength of small-scale turbulence in the ISM of our simulations, which is a direct consequence of our subresolution ISM model (Springel & Hernquist 2003) and is bound to reduce the turbulent component of the represented magnetic field. Indeed, the average field strength we find is more consistent with the estimated strength of the *regular*, large-scale component of the magnetic field of about $5 \pm 3 \mu\text{G}$ (Fletcher 2010). However, we note that there are still significant uncertainties in the observational magnetic field strength estimates that preclude us from drawing any strong conclusions.

The central and average magnetic field strengths in our galaxies are correlated as shown in the left-hand panel of Fig. 2, but exhibit significant scatter. The correlation is at least partly expected as the strong magnetic fields in the small volume in the centre of each galaxy still contribute significantly to the total magnetic energy in the disc.

In the middle and right-hand panels of Fig. 2, we show the correlations between central magnetic field strength and central gas density and bulge mass, respectively. The central magnetic field strength tightly correlates with the gas density in the centre. The relation exhibits a slope of $B \propto \rho^{0.7}$, close to the value of $B \propto \rho^{2/3}$ expected for isotropic adiabatic compression, with a small deviation for the most bulge-dominated galaxies with the strongest central magnetic fields. The central magnetic field strength in disc-dominated galaxies is therefore consistent with not being set by local dynamo amplification, but rather by adiabatic compression of the already magnetized gas in the disc when it flows to the centre. Note that this requires that all the galaxies in our sample reach a similar magnetic field strength in the disc at a comparable gas density.


The central magnetic field strength correlates more weakly with the mass of the bulge and the mass of the central black hole, and only slightly with the total stellar mass of the galaxy. We do not see a correlation between halo mass and magnetic field strength for our sample. This is mainly a result of the small range of halo masses (only a factor of 2) and the sufficiently strong scatter, making the scatter in the magnetic field strength larger than any aspect of systematic variation. These trends are again consistent with being driven primarily by the gas density in the centre, which is higher for galaxies with a larger bulge mass and therefore deeper central gravitational potentials. The weak correlation with stellar mass is likely a secondary effect that can be explained by the weak correlation between bulge mass and stellar mass.

3.2 Radial magnetic field profiles at $z = 0$

The radial magnetic field profiles in the disc at $z = 0$ are shown in Fig. 3 compared to observational data. At all radii, the magnetic field strength varies by about an order of magnitude between the different haloes, although 66 per cent of the haloes only span a range of about a factor of 2, except for the centre of the galaxies where the range is larger. The ordering of the profile is not strictly monotonic, i.e. the disc with the weakest magnetic field in the centre does not have

Table 1. Magnetic field properties of the Auriga galaxies at $z = 0$. For general properties of the discs, see Grand et al. (2017).

Halo	B_{centre} (μG)	B_{disc} (μG)	ρ_{centre} ($\text{M}_{\odot} \text{pc}^{-3}$)	D/T	r_0^B (kpc)	r_{inner}^B (kpc)	r_{outer}^B (kpc)	h_0^B (kpc)	h_{inner}^B (kpc)	h_{outer}^B (kpc)
Au-1	35.0	6.0	4.3×10^{-2}	0.40	7.8	6.0	13.1	4.4	4.6	17.7
Au-2	31.9	5.5	2.1×10^{-2}	0.73	10.7	5.9	42.8	3.6	3.0	12.7
Au-3	22.5	6.3	2.2×10^{-2}	0.76	13.7	10.2	42.6	3.0	3.3	34.0
Au-4	72.9	8.7	7.8×10^{-2}	0.65	6.8	4.1	12.8	4.0	4.6	10.8
Au-5	48.8	8.1	3.7×10^{-2}	0.71	6.5	5.6	11.5	3.2	3.4	18.5
Au-6	13.9	4.3	1.3×10^{-2}	0.67	5.1	5.9	42.0	2.9	3.4	96.0
Au-7	22.2	7.0	3.5×10^{-2}	0.55	15.5	14.5	11.1	2.7	4.2	12.0
Au-8	14.0	4.1	9.2×10^{-3}	0.79	9.8	9.4	28.6	4.5	4.6	13.1
Au-9	53.6	5.6	4.2×10^{-2}	0.62	3.9	2.5	14.3	2.3	2.7	19.3
Au-10	54.4	7.2	1.1×10^{-1}	0.43	12.5	5.1	23.3	2.3	3.0	25.6
Au-11	109.2	7.2	1.9×10^{-1}	0.29	5.0	1.8	50.0	1.1	3.2	10.0
Au-12	28.1	6.8	5.0×10^{-2}	0.79	13.5	10.5	9.1	2.9	3.5	16.9
Au-13	151.5	8.8	1.3×10^{-1}	0.31	6.9	2.3	9.8	5.7	4.5	11.6
Au-14	28.2	11.2	3.9×10^{-2}	0.67	15.5	19.6	13.7	4.7	6.5	40.9
Au-15	15.5	6.0	2.3×10^{-2}	0.73	20.5	18.3	14.6	3.3	4.6	26.1
Au-16	17.0	5.3	8.5×10^{-3}	0.81	13.0	10.4	84.3	3.4	3.5	14.7
Au-17	63.4	10.1	5.9×10^{-2}	0.36	13.8	6.5	13.2	2.9	4.2	10.8
Au-18	41.0	4.9	3.0×10^{-2}	0.68	4.8	2.7	25.4	2.6	3.6	11.2
Au-19	30.2	5.4	3.0×10^{-2}	0.71	5.6	4.2	26.4	3.7	3.7	18.5
Au-20	24.3	6.6	2.9×10^{-2}	0.49	19.2	11.2	38.5	4.3	5.0	12.2
Au-21	26.4	7.0	2.7×10^{-2}	0.83	9.8	10.4	14.4	3.9	3.9	16.3
Au-22	42.7	5.1	3.3×10^{-2}	0.51	14.7	4.9	13.0	2.5	2.0	14.2
Au-23	41.8	8.0	4.4×10^{-2}	0.63	9.3	6.2	25.6	3.6	3.3	32.9
Au-24	31.7	4.6	2.1×10^{-2}	0.63	7.8	3.7	-747.4	3.3	3.4	14.2
Au-25	9.0	4.7	1.1×10^{-2}	0.73	20.8	30.3	11.6	3.4	5.1	16.4
Au-26	280.8	14.3	2.5×10^{-1}	0.46	7.0	2.0	15.4	3.0	2.4	22.4
Au-27	40.3	6.4	3.1×10^{-2}	0.81	5.7	4.0	21.6	3.2	3.1	21.3
Au-28	77.5	7.9	1.5×10^{-1}	0.74	11.9	4.1	15.7	1.9	1.8	18.4
Au-29	57.2	10.2	6.6×10^{-2}	0.61	14.2	7.5	12.5	4.5	16.5	16.3
Au-30	146.1	7.9	9.4×10^{-2}	0.37	7.0	2.1	12.7	4.5	3.5	12.3

**Figure 2.** Correlation of the magnetic field strength in the galaxy centre with the average magnetic field strength in the disc (left-hand panel), with the central gas density (middle panel) and with the bulge mass (right-hand panel), for all systems. The colour coding represents the fraction of stellar mass in the disc compared to total stellar mass in the halo (D/T). The black line in the left-hand panel shows the best-fitting relation between central and average disc magnetic field and the grey area the 95 per cent confidence interval. Similarly, in the central panel the black line shows the best-fitting relation with a power-law index of 0.70. Here, we only included galaxies with D/T > 0.5. If we include all galaxies, the relation becomes slightly steeper and we find a best-fitting power-law index of 0.82.

the weakest magnetic field everywhere. Nevertheless, galaxies with higher average magnetic field strength tend to have higher magnetic field strengths at all radii, consistent with our previous result that the central magnetic field strength correlates with the average field strength over the whole disc.

The profiles in our simulated galaxies agree well with observed galaxies, but more and better data and in particular a self-consistent modelling of the cosmic ray electron population and its radio emission are needed to better understand the diversity of magnetic fields in galaxies.




Figure 3. Radial profiles of the magnetic field strength at $z = 0$, measured in rings with a height of 2 kpc, for all haloes. The blue lines show the profiles of the individual haloes. The shading represents the order of central magnetic field strength. The red lines show the observed radial profiles of five nearby galaxies (Basu & Roy 2013), the black line shows the radial profile for M101 (Berkhuijsen et al. 2016). All observed profiles assume equipartition between magnetic energy density and the energy density of cosmic ray protons and a fixed ratio between the energy density of cosmic ray electrons and cosmic ray protons.

The inner scale radii of the magnetic field strength correlate with the central densities; higher central gas densities come with smaller inner scale radii and therefore a steeper decline of the magnetic field strength, as shown in Fig. 4, reinforcing the idea that the central gas density sets the magnetic field strength in the inner part of the galaxy. The outer scale radius is correlated with the optical radius of the galaxy, indicating that it is set by the global, large-scale properties of the galaxy. The position of the radial break that separates the inner and the outer exponential does not seem to correlate with other galaxy quantities in any obvious way.

3.3 Vertical magnetic field profiles at $z = 0$

As shown for a subset of six galaxies in Fig. 1, the vertical structure of the magnetic field strength as well as the density profile can be very well described by two joint exponential profiles. Fig. 5 shows the correlation between the fit parameters obtained for the magnetic field and the gas density. The inner exponential transitions into the outer exponential at a height between 2 and 5 kpc, and this break is essentially at the same place for the gas density (h_0^ρ) and the magnetic field strength (h_0^B).

The inner scaleheights of gas density and magnetic field strength in the plane of the gas disc are strongly correlated. The inner magnetic scaleheight is roughly three times as large as the scaleheight of the gas density, which translates to $B \propto \rho^{1/3}$. This scaling can be interpreted as being intermediate between one-dimensional compression/expansion along magnetic field lines (which leads to $B \propto \rho^0 = \text{const}$), isotropic compression and expansion (which leads to the usual scaling $B \propto \rho^{2/3}$) and finally expansion/compression only perpendicular to magnetic field lines (which leads to $B \propto \rho$). Therefore, the vertical scaling of $B \propto \rho^{1/3}$ we observe argues that compression and expansion of gas occur predominantly along magnetic field lines, with a much smaller but non-negligible contribution perpendicular to the magnetic field lines. Moreover, this also implies that the magnetic field above and below the disc is predominantly




Figure 4. Inner scale radius versus central density (upper panel) and outer scale radius of the magnetic field strength versus optical radius of the stellar disc (lower panel). The colour coding denotes the fraction of stellar mass in the disc compared to total stellar mass in the halo (D/T).

turned into the vertical direction by the galactic wind. We will investigate this process in more detail in our companion paper.

The outer scaleheights of the magnetic field strength and gas density are also consistent with $B \propto \rho^{1/3}$, but with significantly larger scatter. Moreover, the outer scaleheight is typically much larger than the inner scaleheight, with typical values of 10–30 kpc for the outer magnetic scaleheight. Both, the outer magnetic and gas scaleheights, are likely to be strongly influenced by our model for galactic winds (Marinacci et al. 2014; Grand et al. 2017). For the outer scaleheight, we obtain a consistent picture in which the velocity field is dominated by vertical outflows and the magnetic field is dominated by its vertical component as well. Taken together, this then causes the observed scaling. The origin of the scaling in the disc is less obvious, although magnetic field and velocity field are both dominated by the azimuthal component. Note that the only two galaxies that do not follow this relation are strongly bulge dominated and therefore lack highly ordered velocity and magnetic fields on large scales.




Figure 5. Characterization of the vertical profile of the magnetic field strength. The left-hand panel shows the vertical position of the break between inner and outer exponential for magnetic field strength h_0^B and density h_0^ρ . The middle and right-hand panels give the inner and outer scaleheights of the magnetic field strength versus the inner and outer scaleheights of the gas density. The grey line in the left-hand panel shows equal heights of the break for magnetic field and density. The grey lines in the middle and right plots indicate a 3:1 ratio between the vertical scaleheights of the magnetic field and the density.




Figure 6. Magnetic field amplification in the disc. The left-hand panel shows the median magnetic field strength for all haloes in a radius of 1 kpc (grey) and in rings with a radius of 10 kpc (blue) and 30 kpc (red), a radial extent of 1 kpc, and a height of 1 kpc. The bands represent 66 per cent of the haloes. The middle panel shows a histogram of the amplification time-scales at lookback times larger than 12 Gyr. The right-hand panel shows the median magnetic field strength for all haloes for rings at 10 kpc (blue) and 30 kpc (red), respectively, on a linear scale.

4 MAGNETIC FIELD AMPLIFICATION

To reach field strengths of order μG or more in the galaxies at $z=0$, the magnetic field has to be amplified by many orders of magnitude from the small, uniform primordial seed field we adopted in the initial conditions. The required amplification is much larger than the amplification obtained by adiabatic contraction when the gas is accreted on galaxies. Therefore, an efficient dynamo is required to increase the magnetic field strength to the values found in the simulation.

There are two main types of dynamos that have been claimed to be active in galaxies, a turbulent small-scale dynamo and an α - Ω dynamo. Both dynamos can in principle exhibit exponential as well as linear growth of the magnetic energy.

The turbulent dynamo operates in a turbulent medium. As long as the magnetic pressure is irrelevant, that is, the dynamo operates in the kinetic regime, it will exponentially amplify the magnetic energy, and it will do so on the smallest scales first. Once equipartition is reached on the smallest scales, magnetic energy can be moved to larger scales by an inverse cascade. In contrast to the kinetic phase, this phase only leads to a linear amplification of the magnetic energy (see e.g. Federrath 2016). The turbulent dynamo characteristically leads to a chaotic, small-scale magnetic field that carries the same energy on each spatial component.

The α - Ω dynamo instead exploits the differential rotation in a disc (Ω -effect) in combination with small-scale vertical motions (α -effect). In its simplified form as galactic mean-field dynamo it can lead to exponential or linear growth of the magnetic energy depending on the relative size of the vertical and rotational terms (Shukurov et al. 2006). It characteristically generates a large-scale ordered magnetic field that is dominated by its azimuthal component.

Previously, we argued based on a single galaxy simulation that the magnetic field is first amplified exponentially by a small-scale dynamo and undergoes a second phase of linear growth owing to a galactic mean-field dynamo once the disc forms (Pakmor et al. 2014). Here, we can now reevaluate this conclusion based on the large sample of the Auriga simulations.

4.1 The early exponential amplification phase

The time evolution of the median magnetic field strength of all haloes in the galactic centres and in rings with radii of 10 and 30 kpc, respectively, is shown in Fig. 6. Similar to previous work that focused either on the formation of a single galaxy (Pakmor & Springel 2013; Pakmor et al. 2014, 2016b) or on larger scales of whole haloes (Dolag et al. 1999; Beck et al. 2012; Marinacci et al.




Figure 7. Magnetic field amplification at high redshift computed as the volume-weighted rms magnetic field strength in a sphere with a radius of 10 kpc centred on the potential minimum of the halo. Solid lines show the magnetic field strength for halo 6, halo 16 and halo 24 at level 3 resolution. Dashed lines show the same haloes at level 4 (standard) resolution. Dotted lines show the theoretical magnetic field strength for the level 3 haloes in case of flux freezing (i.e. $B \propto \rho^{2/3}$). The dashed black line denotes the median magnetic field strength for all level 4 haloes, and the grey area encloses 66 per cent of their distribution.

2015), we see an initial period of fast exponential amplification at all three radii. This period is shown in detail in Fig. 7. At $z = 10$, the magnetic field strength in the haloes is identical to the value expected from flux freezing. This changes around $z = 7$, when fast dynamo sets in. This process exponentially amplifies the magnetic field until it saturates around $z = 3$. The time when saturation is reached varies between haloes. For haloes in which the dynamo sets in earlier, the magnetic field strength saturates earlier. Note also that the dynamo is only active in collapsed structures. Outside them the magnetic field strength evolves as expected from flux freezing (Marinacci et al. 2015).

For the high-resolution level 3 runs, the exponential amplification sets in earlier than for the standard resolution level 4 runs, and the amplification time-scale is shorter as well. Both effects point to a turbulent magnetic dynamo that only occurs once the halo is sufficiently resolved. The velocity field in the haloes at high redshift that is shown in Fig. 8 suggests a turbulent dynamo with an injection scale of roughly $L = r_{200,c}$. In this picture, when the haloes form they initially are not sufficiently resolved to start the dynamo. However, they quickly grow in size as they accrete mass which increases the injection scale. Eventually, the injection scale is sufficiently resolved and the turbulent dynamo starts. This interpretation is supported by the distribution of cell sizes in the halo that is shown in Fig. 9. At $z = 7.5$, only the high-resolution run of Au-16 resolves the injection scale with more than 30 cells. For this halo the dynamo sets in first and saturates first. At $z = 5$, all haloes resolve the injection scale with more than 30 cells. The typical Jeans length for cells in the centre of the halo at this time is 1 kpc with only a weak dependence on density owing to our equation of state for star-forming gas. This means that at this time we typically resolve the Jeans length by more than 32 cells in the centre of the halo (Schleicher et al. 2013).

The most direct evidence for a turbulent small-scale dynamo comes from power spectra. Fig. 10 shows the evolution of power spectra of kinetic and magnetic energies in three high-resolution level 3 haloes from $z = 7.6$ to 2. We only show power spectra of the high-resolution runs, but as discussed in Section 5, the evolution of the magnetic field strength is qualitatively consistent between the standard resolution and high-resolution runs.

The shape of the kinetic energy power spectrum is consistent with a Kolmogorov spectrum (Kolmogorov 1941) for about an order of magnitude in k . Its amplitude increases with time as the halo grows until it converges around $z = 3$. The magnetic energy power spectrum is essentially flat at $z = 7.6$ before the dynamo sets in. After the dynamo starts, it transforms to a spectrum that is roughly consistent with a Kazantsev spectrum (Kazantsev et al. 1985) on large scales.

Note that both the time at which the turbulent dynamo sets in and the exponential time-scale of the amplification are limited by the smallest scales we can resolve. Because we expect physical viscosity and resistivity to become important only on much smaller scales than those resolved in our simulation, we likely significantly underestimate both, i.e. in reality the dynamo will set in earlier and




Figure 8. Gas density projections of three haloes at level 3 resolution at $z = 5$. The colour coding shows the logarithmic column density of the gas. The streamlines show the direction of the projected velocity field. The dashed line describes the virial radius ($r_{200,c}$) of the haloes at this time.




Figure 9. Histogram of cell radii for three haloes at redshifts between $z = 7.6$ (left-hand panel) and $z = 3.1$ (right-hand panel). Solid lines show the high-resolution level 3 runs, dashed lines show the standard level 4 runs. Vertical solid lines denote $1/30$ of $r_{200,c}$ of the halo.




Figure 10. Power spectra of kinetic energy (solid lines) and magnetic energy (dashed lines) for three haloes at level 3 resolution for different redshifts from $z = 7.6$ to 2. The power spectra are computed using a zero-padded fast Fourier transform of the components of $\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{v}$ and \mathbf{B} for the gas within a constant physical radius. The radius was chosen for each halo individually as the corresponding virial radius ($r_{200,c}$) at $z = 7.6$. The radii are 9 kpc for halo 6, 11 kpc for halo 16 and 7 kpc for halo 24. The black dotted lines show slopes $P(k) \propto k^{-5/3}$ of a Kolmogorov spectrum (Kolmogorov 1941), and $P(k) \propto k^{-3/2}$ of a Kazantsev spectrum (Kazantsev, Ruzmaikin & Sokolov 1985) for comparison. Vertical lines in the top left of the panels show the virial radius. At lower redshift, the virial is larger than the range of the plot.

amplify the magnetic field faster than in our simulation. However, the saturation field strength likely does not depend on resolution, therefore the evolution after we reach saturation will be the same. In addition, our ISM model does not include explicit local feedback from SN II which may change the velocity field on small scales and influence the magnetic field amplification. Note, however, that there are observational hints that the ISM turbulence is driven by gravity rather than local feedback for rapidly star-forming galaxies (Krumholz & Burkhardt 2016). Future simulations with a more sophisticated ISM model will be needed to investigate this in more detail.

Finally, the velocity field shown in Fig. 8 indicates that the turbulent velocity field is driven mostly by incoming filaments, which suggests that the driving is dominated by solenoidal modes and that compressive modes are subdominant because the filaments generally do not align.

The e-folding time-scale of the initial fast growth, obtained from fitting an exponential to the evolution of the magnetic field strength between $t_{\text{look}} = 13$ and 12 Gyr, is about 100 Myr, as shown in the middle panel of Fig. 6. This is equivalent to a growth rate of

$\Gamma \approx 10^{-2} \text{ Myr}^{-1}$. Our measured growth rate is about 10^4 times slower than the analytical estimate for an accretion-driven, small-scale dynamo in a spherical galaxy (Schober, Schleicher & Klessen 2013), given by

$$\Gamma \approx \frac{v(l)}{l} \text{Re}^c, \quad (4)$$

where $v(l)$ is the typical velocity on the scale of a typical turbulent eddy of size l , Re is the Reynolds number and c is a constant equal to 0.5 for Kolmogorov turbulence. For the standard resolution runs, the cells have a typical diameter of 500 pc (see Fig. 9) and a velocity dispersion on this scale of 30 km s^{-1} . Compared to the assumptions made by Schober et al. (2013), our ratio v/l is about two orders of magnitude larger. However, Schober et al. (2013) assume a Reynolds number of $\text{Re} = 10^{11}$, many orders of magnitude larger than the effective Reynolds number in our simulation that is set by numerical viscosity. For a conservative estimate of $\text{Re} = 10$ in our simulation, we obtain an estimate for the growth rate of $\Gamma = 0.1 \text{ Myr}^{-1}$ equivalent to an amplification time-scale of 10 Myr. This estimated time-scale is about a factor of 10 faster than the




Figure 11. Time evolution of the median energy densities of magnetic energy, thermal energy and kinetic energy in the disc for all galaxies. The panels show the median of the average energy densities at the centre (left-hand panel), and in rings at 10 kpc (middle panel) and 30 kpc (right-hand panel). The rings have a radial extent of 1 kpc and a height of 1 kpc. The kinetic energy is calculated in the rest frame of the halo. The turbulent energy is computed by subtracting the local Keplerian velocity of a cell from the velocity of the cell. In the centre, there is no significant rotational support, so that $\epsilon_{\text{kin}} \approx \epsilon_{\text{turb}}$.

measured time-scale in the simulation. This may be caused by the rather large range in time we fit that is not limited to the pure kinetic dynamo and therefore likely underestimates the actual growth rate of the turbulent dynamo in the kinetic phase. In addition, the loss of magnetic energy by star formation may also increase the effective growth time.

Note also that the different components of the kinetic and magnetic energies are of similar strength at high redshift with a slight preference for the radial components in the outer parts of the halo (see also Fig. 8).

Dynamos convert kinetic energy into magnetic energy. Once a dynamo is operating it will only end its amplification of an initially small magnetic field if the velocity field changes. This naturally happens when the magnetic pressure becomes large enough to have an effect on the velocity field. In this case, the magnetic field strength will necessarily be close to equipartition with the locally accessible kinetic energy. Alternatively, the magnetic field can saturate when loss terms reduce the magnetic energy at a rate compensating the amplification rate by the dynamo. In our simulations, loss terms for magnetic energy are star formation, which locks up the magnetic field of the gas that forms a star particle, and numerical resistivity on the grid scale. In addition, outflows can transport magnetic energy from the disc into the halo and act as a sink of magnetic energy for the galaxy.

As shown in Fig. 10, in the initial phase of exponential amplification, the magnetic field saturates on the smallest scales first when the magnetic energy on these scales reaches ≈ 20 per cent of the kinetic energy. At this point, only larger modes continue to grow until they reach saturation as well. The evolution of the magnetic power spectrum is consistent with turbulent box simulations (Federrath 2016). The turbulent dynamo saturates long before a disc forms and the velocity field in the galaxy becomes dominated by ordered large-scale rotation (Pakmor et al. 2014). The saturation at $\epsilon_B/\epsilon_{\text{turb}} \approx 0.2$ is at the level expected from MHD turbulence simulations of the ISM for a range of realistic Reynolds and Prandtl numbers (Schekochihin et al. 2004; Cho et al. 2009; Kim & Ostriker 2015; Federrath 2016). Note that a more realistic smaller seed field will delay the time at which saturation is reached. In contrast, a more realistic Reynolds number will significantly increase the growth rate and make the dynamo reach saturation earlier. For realistic assumptions for both, it is likely that satura-

tion will be reached even earlier than in our simulation (Schober et al. 2013).

Finally, increasing the spatial resolution leads to a faster amplification of the magnetic field, but does not change the saturation level as shown in Section 5. We thus conclude that the initial growth of the magnetic field by a turbulent small-scale dynamo produces a completely unstructured small-scale magnetic field with a well-defined field strength.

4.2 Linear amplification and the galactic dynamo

After the initial exponential growth, the magnetic field strength at the centre of the galaxy then stays constant until $z = 0$ (see Fig. 11). At larger radii the magnetic field strength keeps growing, but now only linearly with time. At a radius of 10 kpc, the median magnetic field strength saturates roughly at $z = 0.5$ and decreases slightly afterwards. Finally, at a radius of 30 kpc, the magnetic field strength keeps rising until $z = 0$.

In the centre of the galaxy there is no significant contribution from rotation, and the kinetic energy can be used directly as a measure of the turbulent kinetic energy. At larger radii, a disc usually forms between $z = 2$ and 1. After this time, the kinetic energy is dominated by the ordered rotation of the disc. Therefore, we introduce an explicit estimate of the local turbulent energy density ϵ_{turb} by subtracting the Keplerian velocity at every radius r , computed for simplicity from the total enclosed mass at this radius $M_{\text{enclosed}}(r)$ as

$$v_{\text{kep}}(r) = \sqrt{\frac{G M_{\text{enclosed}}(r)}{r}} \quad (5)$$

$$\epsilon_{\text{turb}} = \frac{\rho}{2} (\mathbf{v} - v_{\text{kep}}(r) \mathbf{e}_\phi)^2, \quad (6)$$

where \mathbf{e}_ϕ is the azimuthal unit vector.

In the centre of the disc, all energy densities remain roughly constant from $z = 2$ until $z = 0$. In particular, the magnetic energy density stays at ≈ 10 per cent of the thermal and kinetic energy density, well below equipartition. This can be explained by the lack of coherent, large-scale velocities in the centre of the galaxy that could operate an α - Ω dynamo and increase the magnetic energy beyond what turbulent amplification yields. Note also that the higher




Figure 12. Time evolution of the median of the three components (radial, azimuthal and vertical) of magnetic and kinetic energy densities in the disc for all galaxies. The panels show the median of the average energy densities of the three components at the centre (left-hand panel), and in rings at 10 kpc (middle panel) and 30 kpc (right-hand panel). The rings have a radial extent of 1 kpc, and a height of 1 kpc. The kinetic energy is calculated in the rest frame of the halo.




Figure 13. Median radial profiles of the different energy densities in the disc. The median is computed from all haloes for every radial bin. The panels show the profiles at different times. The thin lines starting in the second panel show the profiles in the previous panel. The kinetic energy is calculated in the rest frame of the halo. The turbulent energy is computed by subtracting the local Keplerian velocity of a cell from its systemic velocity.

densities in the centre that lead to higher star formation rates also lead to significant loss of magnetic energy. In addition, the centre of the galaxy generates the strongest outflows due to the high star formation rate and the AGN. In contrast to the galactic winds that are launched from the surface of the disc, the AGN outflows are launched directly from the centre of the galaxy and can therefore efficiently remove highly magnetized gas and transport it into the halo and beyond. Together, outflows and the locking up of magnetic energy in stars may also be relevant for the saturation level of the magnetic field strength in the centre of galaxies.

At a radius of 10 kpc, the magnetic field continues to grow linearly after the initial phase of exponential amplification. The growth is stopped once the magnetic energy density reaches equipartition with the thermal energy density and the turbulent kinetic energy density at around $z = 0.4$. The amplification of the magnetic field in this phase is consistent with our previous results (Pakmor et al. 2014) and can be understood as the result of a galactic dynamo (Shukurov et al. 2006) that is dominated by the differential rotation terms with an essentially constant radial magnetic field strength as found in our simulations (see Fig. 12). The amplification of the magnetic energy is stopped when the azimuthal and vertical velocity field are not able to surpass the magnetic pressure anymore and the α -effect is suppressed. As shown in Fig. 12, the galactic dynamo leads to a magnetic field that is dominated by its azimuthal component. The resolution effects as discussed in detail in Section 5 also support

our picture of a galactic dynamo driving the linear amplification phase.

In addition to the amplification by the galactic dynamo, the difference between magnetic energy density and thermal and turbulent kinetic energy density is reduced by the slow overall decrease of thermal and turbulent kinetic energy density after $z = 1$. Note that the time at which equipartition is reached roughly coincides with the time when the discs at this radius are finally fully developed and the total kinetic energy density does not increase any further, but completely dominates the total energy density.

The evolution at a radius of 30 kpc is similar to the evolution at 10 kpc, but significantly delayed. Here, equipartition between magnetic energy density and thermal energy density is only reached around $z = 0$. Note also that some gas discs are smaller than 30 kpc, and some galaxies in our sample do not have a gas disc at $z = 0$ at all.

4.3 Evolution of the radial energy profiles

The time evolution of the median energy density profiles is shown in Fig. 13. The turbulent energy density is again calculated by subtracting the Keplerian velocity before calculating the kinetic energy as described above. The radial profiles can be separated into two regimes. In the central few kpc, there is little evolution between $z = 1$ and 0. Thermal and turbulent energies rise by more than




Figure 14. Radial profiles of the different energy densities in the disc for halo 6 (top row) and halo 23 (bottom row). The panels display the profiles at different times. The thin dashed lines starting in the second panel show the profiles in the previous panel. The kinetic energy is calculated in the rest frame of the halo. The turbulent energy is computed by subtracting the local Keplerian velocity from the systemic velocity of a cell.

an order of magnitude from $r = 2$ kpc to the centre. The magnetic energy rises less steeply and only reaches 10 percent of the other energies as discussed above.

At the boundary between the central zone and the disc around $r = 2$ kpc, magnetic, thermal and turbulent energies are in equipartition already at $z = 1$. With time, the part of the disc in which the magnetic energy is in equipartition increases to larger and larger radii until it extends all the way to 30 kpc at $z = 0$. This is likely a consequence of the galactic dynamo operating on the orbital time-scale of the disc which is larger at larger radii. Moreover, between $z = 0.5$ and 0, the turbulent and thermal energy densities drop significantly in the disc, helping the magnetic energy to reach equipartition. Note also that the total kinetic energy density increases significantly at larger radii between $z = 1$ and 0 which reflects the formation and growth of the gas discs.

For the median energy density profiles, the magnetic energy density can surpass the thermal energy density, but does not exceed the turbulent kinetic energy. The latter can be interpreted as a consequence of requiring a strong enough turbulent velocity component (α -effect) to keep the galactic dynamo going. Nevertheless, for individual haloes, the magnetic energy density exceeds the turbulent energy density locally, as shown for halo 6 and halo 23 in Fig. 14. However, as shown there, even in the cases when the magnetic energy exceeds the turbulent energy density, it has only decreased from the value it had when it was in equipartition with the turbulent energy density at earlier times. Therefore, the magnetic energy is still only amplified until it reaches equipartition with the turbulent energy density, but the turbulent energy density then drops faster than the magnetic energy density. This leaves the magnetic energy density to dominate. Moreover, for the haloes shown in Fig. 14

this only happens after $z = 0.2$, the redshift at which equipartition was reached throughout the disc and the magnetic energy density does not exceed the turbulent energy density by more than a factor of 2. Note also that this effect likely depends on the properties of our ISM model.

5 DYNAMIC IMPACT OF THE MAGNETIC FIELD AND RESOLUTION EFFECTS

Since the measurements of the magnetic fields in the Milky Way and nearby galaxies indicate that the magnetic energy is in equipartition, one may speculate whether this results in a significant dynamical impact of magnetic fields on the evolution of galaxies. In Fig. 15, we compare the star formation history of four of the Auriga galaxies with their counterpart runs without magnetic fields. At early times, the evolution is virtually identical. This is consistent with our finding that the turbulent dynamo saturates at the 10 percent level and therefore the magnetic field is dynamically irrelevant. It only becomes dynamically relevant at much later times, when the magnetic energy density approaches the turbulent and thermal energy density in the ISM. At these later times, after $z = 1$, we see deviations in the star formation history. However, these deviations are small and not systematic, i.e. the star formation rate can increase or decrease as a result of the magnetic fields. Therefore, we conclude that in our simulations magnetic fields only have a small effect on the overall dynamic evolution of galaxies, primarily because they reach equipartition too late to affect most of the star formation.

To understand the influence of numerical resolution on our results, we compare three of our haloes with higher resolution counterparts in Fig. 16. The higher resolution runs employ eight times




Figure 15. Comparison of the star formation history for four of the Auriga galaxies with magnetic fields (black) and without magnetic fields (red), but otherwise using an identical numerical set-up.




Figure 16. Detailed numerical resolution comparison for three of the Auriga haloes. Black lines show the galaxies at our standard resolution, cyan lines show the same halo at ‘level-3’ resolution, i.e. with a factor 8 better mass resolution and half the gravitational softening. The columns show, from left to right, the early phase of the magnetic field amplification at a distance of 10 kpc from the centre of the galaxy, its subsequent time evolution, the radial profile of the magnetic field strength at $z = 0$, and the vertical profile of the magnetic field strength at $z = 0$. The dashed lines in the third and fourth panels show the radial and vertical gas density profiles at the present epoch.

better mass resolution and two times better spatial resolution. The early amplification phase that is driven by a turbulent dynamo shows the resolution dependence expected for a small-scale dynamo. At higher resolution, the dissipation scale and hence the amplification time-scale become smaller, leading to a slightly faster amplification of the magnetic field. Saturation is therefore reached slightly earlier in the higher resolution runs, but it is reached at the same strength for both runs. The subsequent evolution of the magnetic field strength is then very similar and well converged with numerical resolution.

However, there are some small but systematic differences in the final magnetic field strength profiles. Except for its centre, the mag-

netic field strength in the disc is slightly lower in the higher resolution runs. This difference is not reflected in the radial profile of the gas density and can be understood as a consequence of slightly thinner discs with smaller vertical velocities at higher resolution. The smaller vertical velocities then lead to a saturation of the dynamo at slightly lower field strength as α -quenching sets in earlier. The vertical profile of the magnetic field strength shows the same effect, but somewhat more clearly because the vertical profile is averaged over a radius of 30 kpc. Here, the slightly smaller magnetic field strength in the centre also reduces the magnetic field strength in the halo, again without a corresponding difference in the gas density.

Note, however, that these residual differences are only at the level of 30 per cent. Nevertheless, it will be important to see how the magnetic field strength changes for even higher resolution, or when a more sophisticated model of the turbulent ISM is included.

6 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we have analysed the time evolution and the final spatial profiles of the magnetic field strength in the Auriga disc galaxy simulations. We find that the radial and vertical profiles of the predicted magnetic field strength at $z = 0$ can both be well described by two joint exponentials. Moreover, the central magnetic field strength depends on the central gas density as $B \propto \rho^{2/3}$ consistent with isotropic compression of the magnetic field of gas flowing from the disc to the centre of the galaxy. The vertical profile of the magnetic field strength also strongly correlates with gas density, but exhibits a dependence of $B \propto \rho^{1/3}$. This relation is expected for adiabatic expansion of gas mostly along magnetic field lines, with only a small component of the expansion occurring perpendicular to the field. This can be naturally explained by the good alignment of the magnetic field and velocity field in the disc, and outflows happening perpendicular to the disc which reorient the magnetic field at the disc–halo interface.

Consistent with earlier exploratory work (Pakmor et al. 2014), we find that there are two distinct modes of magnetic field amplification. At high redshift, a turbulent dynamo leads to an exponential amplification of the magnetic fields in haloes. We show that the turbulence is driven gravitationally by accretion of gas on the galaxy with an injection scale similar to the virial radius of the halo at $z = 5$. The turbulent dynamo starts once the injection scale is sufficiently resolved with around 30 cells. Power spectra of kinetic and magnetic energies are consistent with the expected scalings for turbulent amplification. The turbulent dynamo saturates on the smallest scales first when the magnetic energy density reaches about 20 per cent of the turbulent energy density. The magnetic dynamo sets in slightly earlier and has a slightly faster e-folding time-scale in the high-resolution level 3 runs compared to the standard resolution level 4 runs. The typical e-folding time-scale in the centre of the haloes is 100 Myr, consistent with analytic estimates for a small-scale accretion-driven dynamo in young spherical galaxies (Schober et al. 2013).

After the initial turbulent amplification phase has saturated, a second phase of magnetic field amplification starts that leads to a linear increase of the magnetic field strength with time that can be explained with a galactic dynamo with roughly constant radial magnetic field strength. This amplification only happens outside the centre and is slower at larger radii, consistent with a dynamo driven by differential rotation in the disc. This second dynamo saturates when the magnetic energy reaches equipartition with turbulent and thermal energies, which happens around $z = 0.5$ at a radius of 10 kpc, and takes until $z = 0$ for a radius of 30 kpc. Reaching equipartition this late also means that despite the magnetic field being in equipartition at late times, it is largely subdominant at early times when most of the star formation takes place. Therefore, the impact of magnetic fields on the overall evolution and shape of galaxies is quite limited in our simulations.

We find that the magnetic energy density can eventually exceed the thermal and turbulent energy density at late times in the outer parts of the disc. This dominance of the magnetic energy, however, is not a result of amplifying the magnetic energy beyond the other energy components, but a consequence of the faster drop of thermal and turbulent energy density after the three energies reach equipar-

tion. This finding may change when a more realistic treatment of non-ideal MHD effects (e.g. reconnection) is included.

Our results are reassuringly well converged with numerical resolution, although there is a small residual trend left, with the saturation level of the magnetic field strength in the disc becoming smaller with increasing resolution, reflecting the fact that the discs get a bit colder with improved resolution. We note that none of our physics model parameters are changed when the numerical resolution is varied.

Finally, we find that the magnetic field reaches equipartition quite late, which in turn implies that it is dynamically irrelevant for most of the time. Therefore, including magnetic fields has little direct influence on the evolution of our Milky Way like galaxies, as for example seen in their star formation history. However, this picture may well change substantially when anisotropic transport processes are included which directly depend on the orientation of the magnetic field (Pakmor et al. 2016b). Here, the magnetic field can play a critical role for the evolution of galaxies even if its pressure is negligible. This alone provides ample motivation to further study the origin of magnetic fields in galaxies, in particular when such transport processes of thermal energy, momentum or cosmic rays are included as well.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work has been supported by the European Research Council under ERC-StG grant EXAGAL-308037, ERC-CoG grant CRAGSMAN-646955 and by the Klaus Tschira Foundation. RP, RG and VS acknowledge support by the DFG Research Centre SFB-881 ‘The Milky Way System’ through project A1. VS and TG acknowledge support through subproject EXAMAG of the Priority Programme 1648 ‘Software for Exascale Computing’ of the German Science Foundation. DJRC acknowledges the support of STFC studentship ST/K501979/1. This work used the Data Centric system at Durham University, operated by the Institute for Computational Cosmology on behalf of the STFC DiRAC HPC Facility ‘www.dirac.ac.uk’. This equipment was funded by BIS National E-infrastructure capital grant ST/K00042X/1, STFC capital grant ST/H008519/1 and STFC DiRAC Operations grant ST/K003267/1 and Durham University. DiRAC is part of the UK National E-Infrastructure.

REFERENCES

- Basu A., Roy S., 2013, *MNRAS*, 433, 1675
- Beck R., 2016, *A&AR*, 24, 4
- Beck R., Brandenburg A., Moss D., Shukurov A., Sokoloff D., 1996, *ARA&A*, 34, 155
- Beck A. M., Lesch H., Dolag K., Kotarba H., Geng A., Stasyszyn F. A., 2012, *MNRAS*, 422, 2152
- Berkhuijsen E. M., Urbanik M., Beck R., Han J. L., 2016, *A&A*, 588, A114
- Bernet M. L., Miniati F., Lilly S. J., Kronberg P. P., Dessauges-Zavadsky M., 2008, *Nature*, 454, 302
- Bisnovatyi-Kogan G. S., Ruzmaikin A. A., Syunyaev R. A., 1973, *Sov. Astron.*, 17, 137
- Boulares A., Cox D. P., 1990, *ApJ*, 365, 544
- Cho J., Vishniac E. T., Beresnyak A., Lazarian A., Ryu D., 2009, *ApJ*, 693, 1449
- Crocker R. M., Jones D. I., Melia F., Ott J., Protheroe R. J., 2010, *Nature*, 463, 65
- Dolag K., Bartelmann M., Lesch H., 1999, *A&A*, 348, 351
- Donnert J., Dolag K., Lesch H., Müller E., 2009, *MNRAS*, 392, 1008
- Dubois Y., Teyssier R., 2008, *A&A*, 482, L13

- Dubois Y., Teyssier R., 2010, *A&A*, 523, A72
- Durrer R., Neronov A., 2013, *A&AR*, 21, 62
- Federrath C., 2016, *J. Plasma Phys.*, 82, 535820601
- Fletcher A., 2010, in Kothes R., Landecker T. L., Willis A. G., eds, *ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 438, The Dynamic Interstellar Medium: A Celebration of the Canadian Galactic Plane Survey*. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 197
- Gómez F. A., White S. D. M., Marinacci F., Slater C. T., Grand R. J. J., Springel V., Pakmor R., 2016, *MNRAS*, 456, 2779
- Gómez F. A., White S. D. M., Grand R. J. J., Marinacci F., Springel V., Pakmor R., 2017, *MNRAS*, 465, 3446
- Grand R. J. J., Springel V., Gómez F. A., Marinacci F., Pakmor R., Campbell D. J. R., Jenkins A., 2016, *MNRAS*, 459, 199
- Grand R. J. J. et al., 2017, *MNRAS*, 467, 179
- Hanasz M., Wólciański D., Kowalik K., 2009, *ApJ*, 706, L155
- Hanayama H., Takahashi K., Kotake K., Oguri M., Ichiki K., Ohno H., 2005, *ApJ*, 633, 941
- Kazantsev A. P., Ruzmaikin A. A., Sokolov D. D., 1985, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.*, 88, 487
- Kim C.-G., Ostriker E. C., 2015, *ApJ*, 815, 67
- Kolmogorov A. N., 1941, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 32, 16
- Krumholz M. R., Burkhardt B., 2016, *MNRAS*, 458, 1671
- Kulsrud R. M., Zweibel E. G., 2008, *Rep. Prog. Phys.*, 71, 046901
- Marinacci F., Vogelsberger M., 2016, *MNRAS*, 456, L69
- Marinacci F., Pakmor R., Springel V., 2014, *MNRAS*, 437, 1750
- Marinacci F., Vogelsberger M., Mocz P., Pakmor R., 2015, *MNRAS*, 453, 3999
- Marinacci F., Grand R. J. J., Pakmor R., Springel V., Gómez F. A., Frenk C. S., White S. D. M., 2017, *MNRAS*, 466, 3859
- Miyoshi T., Kusano K., 2005, *J. Comput. Phys.*, 208, 315
- Monachesi A., Gómez F. A., Grand R. J. J., Kauffmann G., Marinacci F., Pakmor R., Springel V., Frenk C. S., 2016, *MNRAS*, 459, L46
- Pakmor R., Springel V., 2013, *MNRAS*, 432, 176
- Pakmor R., Bauer A., Springel V., 2011, *MNRAS*, 418, 1392
- Pakmor R., Marinacci F., Springel V., 2014, *ApJ*, 783, L20
- Pakmor R., Springel V., Bauer A., Mocz P., Munoz D. J., Ohlmann S. T., Schaal K., Zhu C., 2016a, *MNRAS*, 455, 1134
- Pakmor R., Pfrommer C., Simpson C. M., Springel V., 2016b, *ApJ*, 824, L30
- Powell K. G., Roe P. L., Linde T. J., Gombosi T. I., De Zeeuw D. L., 1999, *J. Comput. Phys.*, 154, 284
- Rieder M., Teyssier R., 2016, *MNRAS*, 457, 1722
- Schaye J. et al., 2015, *MNRAS*, 446, 521
- Schekochihin A. A., Cowley S. C., Taylor S. F., Maron J. L., McWilliams J. C., 2004, *ApJ*, 612, 276
- Schleicher D. R. G. et al., 2013, *Astron. Nachr.*, 334, 531
- Schober J., Schleicher D. R. G., Klessen R. S., 2013, *A&A*, 560, A87
- Shukurov A., Sokoloff D., Subramanian K., Brandenburg A., 2006, *A&A*, 448, L33
- Springel V., 2010, *MNRAS*, 401, 791
- Springel V., Hernquist L., 2003, *MNRAS*, 339, 289
- Springel V., Di Matteo T., Hernquist L., 2005, *MNRAS*, 361, 776
- Vazza F., Brüggén M., Gheller C., Wang P., 2014, *MNRAS*, 445, 3706
- Vogelsberger M., Genel S., Sijacki D., Torrey P., Springel V., Hernquist L., 2013, *MNRAS*, 436, 3031
- Wang P., Abel T., 2009, *ApJ*, 696, 96

This paper has been typeset from a \LaTeX file prepared by the author.